## ELECTRONICALLY FILED 2025 Jun 02 PM 3:36

CLERK OF THE JOHNSON COUNTY DISTRICT COURT CASE NUMBER: 23CV05287 PII COMPLIANT



**Court:** Johnson County District Court

23CV05287 **Case Number:** 

**Case Title:** SHARI SCOTT vs. MAZUMA CREDIT UNION

Type: ORD: Order (Generic) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

SO ORDERED,

/s/ Honorable Krishnan Jayaram, District

Court Judge

Electronically signed on 2025-06-02 15:36:22 page 1 of 7

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF JOHNSON COUNTY, KANSAS CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT

**SHARI SCOTT**, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 23CV05287 Div. 12

v.

MAZUMA CREDIT UNION,

Defendant.

## PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

Plaintiff, Shari Scott, and Defendant, Mazuma Credit Union, have entered into a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement"). Plaintiff has moved the Court to conditionally certify the Settlement Class under K.S.A. § 60-223(a) and (b)(3); to grant preliminary approval to the Settlement under K.S.A. § 60-223(e); to approve the form and method for giving notice of the proposed Settlement to the Settlement Class; and to schedule a final approval hearing on the Settlement after the deadlines to object to, or opt out of, the Settlement have passed. Defendant does not oppose the motion.

## ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Terms capitalized herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Settlement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit and jurisdiction over the Class Representative and Defendant in the above-captioned case (the "Parties").

1

- 3. The Court finds that, solely for the purposes of settlement and notice, the requirements of K.S.A. 60-223(a) and (b)(3) have been met, specifically:
  - a. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, as there are thousands of Class Members;
  - b. There are questions of law or fact common to the class based upon the claims raised in the lawsuit relating to the Challenged Fees that predominate over questions affecting only individual members;
  - c. The claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Class because they arise from the same Challenged Fees practices;
  - d. The Class Representative and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class;
  - e. Questions of law or fact common to the members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, as the claims center on the Challenged Fees practices, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, as the claims are numerous but each claim individually is not large.
- 4. The Court therefore **CERTIFIES** the following Plaintiff Class for settlement purposes only:

All Missouri citizens who were charged one or more Challenged Fees by Defendant during the Class Period.

The Class Period is September 1, 2018, through December 31, 2024, and Challenged Fees means (1) Overdraft fees incurred during the Class Period, and not otherwise refunded, on debit card transactions authorized on sufficient funds and settled on negative funds in the same amount for which the debit card transaction was authorized ("APSN Fees"); and (2) Overdraft fees and NSF fees incurred during the Class Period, and not otherwise refunded, on an item that had previously incurred an NSF Fee ("Retry Fees"). Excluded from the Class are all judges who have presided

over this matter and their immediate families and judicial staff. The Court appoints Shari Scott as Class Representative, and the Court appoints Cohen & Malad, LLP; Stranch, Jennings & Garvey, PLLC; and Beam-Ward, Kruse, Wilson & Fletes, LLC, as Class Counsel.

- 5. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are within the range of a fair, reasonable, and adequate compromise under the circumstances of this case. The Court, therefore, preliminarily approves the Settlement and directs the parties to the Settlement Agreement to perform and satisfy the terms and conditions that are triggered by such preliminary approval.
- 6. The Court approves the form and method of notice provided for in the Settlement and finds that it complies with Kansas law and the applicable rules and the requirements of Due Process. The Court appoints Verita Global as Settlement Administrator and orders the Settlement Administrator and the Parties to implement the notice program set forth in the Settlement. Subject to approval of invoices by Class Counsel, the Settlement Administrator is authorized to be paid for services as provided in the Settlement.
- 7. A final approval hearing (the "Final Approval Hearing") shall be held before the undersigned at 2:30 p.m. o'clock, on September 2, 2025, at the Johnson County District Court, 150 W. Santa Fe St., Olathe, Kansas 66061, or via video or teleconference, for the purpose of: (a) determining whether the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be finally approved; (b) determining whether a Final Approval Order should be entered; and (c) considering Class

Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses and any service awards from the Settlement Fund. The Court may adjourn, continue, and reconvene the Final Approval Hearing pursuant to oral announcement without further notice to the Class, and the Court may consider and grant final approval of the Settlement, with or without minor modification and without further notice to the Class.

- 8. Members of the Settlement Class shall be afforded an opportunity to request exclusion from the Class. A request for exclusion from the Class must comply with the requirements for substance, procedure, and timing set forth in the Detailed Notice included in the Settlement. Members of the Settlement Class who submit a timely and valid request for exclusion shall not participate in and shall not be bound by the Settlement. Members of the Settlement Class who do not timely and validly opt out of the Class in accordance with the Detailed Notice shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the action concerning the Settlement.
- 9. Class Counsel shall file a "Notice of Opt Outs," listing the names of all persons or entities who timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement, at least seven days prior to the date of the Final Approval Hearing.
- 10. Class Members who have not excluded themselves shall be afforded an opportunity to object to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Any objection must comply with the requirements for substance, procedure, and timing set forth in the Detailed Notice included in the Settlement. If the Class Member or his or her Counsel wishes to speak at the Final Approval Hearing, he or she must comply with the

requirements for substance, procedure, and timing set forth in the Detailed Notice included in the Settlement.

- 11. Any Class Member who does not make his or her objection known in the manner provided in the Settlement Agreement and Detailed Notice shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Any request for intervention in this action for purposes of commenting on or objecting to the Settlement Agreement must meet the requirements set forth above, including the deadline for filing objections, and also must be accompanied by any evidence, briefs, motions, or other materials the proposed intervenor intends to offer in support of the request for intervention.
- 13. Any lawyer intending to appear at the Final Approval Hearing must be authorized to represent a Class Member, must be duly admitted to practice law before this Court, and must file a written appearance. Copies of the appearance must be served on Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant.
- 14. Class Counsel shall file all objections received and any response thereto at least seven days prior to the date of the Final Approval Hearing.
- 15. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall file a motion for approval of the attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards to be paid from the Settlement Fund, along with any supporting materials.
- 16. If the Settlement does not become effective or is rescinded pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement and all proceedings had in connection

therewith shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Class Representative and Defendant, and all Orders issued pursuant to the Settlement shall be vacated.

17. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement Agreement.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

K. Christopher Jayaram

District Judge

Johnson County District Court